



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/206,720	12/07/98	WILSON K	20WILSON/BOA

LYON & LYON
JOHN M. BENASSI
633 WEST FIFTH STREET
SUITE 4700
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

PM11/0418

EXAMINER

VANAMAN, F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

18

DATE MAILED: 04/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/206,720

Applicant(s)
Wilson et al.

Examiner
Frank Vanaman

Group Art Unit
3611



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frank Vanaman

(3) _____

(2) David Heisey (reg. No. 42,651)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 17, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant proposed a combination of the subject matter of pending claims 1 and 3, along with the proposed comments concerning covered channels shown by the reference of Smisek as not extending through the entire length of the board (as shown in figure 6a and 7, for example) rendering the combination involving Smisek (along with the references to Tibbals and Schorr) untenable. The examiner agreed to consider such a claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

FRANK VANAMAN
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3106

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.